

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,163	03/27/2002	Tokugen Yasuda	220482USOPCT	9786
	590 06/18/2003			5
,	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER		
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6/1 PM-5				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/089,163	YASUDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Margaret G. Moore	1712				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🛛 🛚	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>	March 2002 .					
2a)□ ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 7</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□ Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	roved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trad PTO-326 (Rev.		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				



Application/Control Number: 10/089,163

Art Unit: 1712

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 to 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gruber et al.

Gruber et al. teach contact lenses. Particularly note column 14 which details the monomers A1 and A2, which correspond to claimed component (c), monomer B2, which corresponds to claimed component (a) and D1, corresponding to claimed component (b). Table 2 on column 16 shows various examples which form the monomer mixture required by the instant claims. See for instance No. 13, which meets the amounts in claims 2 and 3. This anticipates the instant claims.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gruber et al. in view of JP 08227001, abstract.

The teachings of Gruber et al. are noted supra. This reference fails to teach a hydrophilicated surface on the contact lens. JP 08227001, abstract, teaches a method of improving wettability, durability and contamination resistance for contact lenses by surface treating the lens, thereby forming a hydrophilicated surface. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to form a hydrophilic surface on the contact lens of Gruber et al. in an effort to improve the various properties of the lens as taught by JP 08227001. In this manner the skilled artisan would have found the claimed lenses obvious.

Art Unit: 1712

5. Ellis et al. is cited as being of general interest. Column 5 teaches the addition of monomers meeting component (b) in an effort to modify hardness of the contact lens, but does not specifically show a monomer mixture of (a), (b) and (c) as claimed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 703-308-4334. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on 703-308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Margaret GAMoore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

mgm June 11, 2003